

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/510,378 | 11/23/2004 | Georg Lutter | 903.0002 | 6218 |
| 25534 CAHN & SAM | 7590 04/30/2007 IUELS LLP | • | EXAM | IINER |
| 2000 P STREET NW | | | MEHTA, BHISMA | |
| SUITE 200 WASHINGTO | N, DC 20036 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3767 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 04/30/2007 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| · · · · · · | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 10/510,378 | LUTTER, GEORG | | | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | - | Bhisma Mehta | 3767 | | | |
| | The MAILING DATE of this communication app | | | | | |
| Period fo | • • | | | | | |
| WHIC - Externafter - If NC - Failu Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANS IN THE MAIL | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 Ap | <u>pril 2007</u> . | | | | |
| 2a) <u></u> □ | This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) | • • | | | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under E | Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45 | 53 O.G. 213. | | | |
| Disposit | ion of Claims | | • | | | |
| 4)🖂 | 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 5-15</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| • • • • | Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 5-15</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | r alaction requirement | | | | |
| اــا(ه | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | r election requirement. | | | | |
| Applicat | ion Papers | | | | | |
| • | The specification is objected to by the Examine | | | | | |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>14 February 2007</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| Priority (| under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | • | | | |
| Attachmen | | 4) Interview Summary | (PTO-413) | | | |
| 2) Notic | Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | | | | |
| . — | mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date | 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: | atent Application | | | |
| | | · — · — | | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings were received on February 14 2007. These drawings are acceptable.

Specification

2. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: The specification fails to disclose at least one passage outside of the perfusion catheter that projects through the dilation unit and is completely surrounded by the dilation unit. The specification also fails to disclose introducing at least one auxiliary catheter outside of the perfusion catheter.

Claim Objections

3. Claims 1-3 and 5-13 are objected to because of the following informalities: The use of "(Previously Presented)" in line 1 of claim 1 appears to be in error and should be "(Currently Amended)". Also, in claim 1, it is unclear through which dilation unit "the at least one passage projects". It appears that both occurrences of "said dilation unit" in line 11 of claim 1 should be "said dilation unit disposed on the proximal side". It should also be made clear that it is the at least one passage that projects through the dilation unit and is completely surrounded by the dilation unit and not the perfusion catheter. Claim 2 recites the limitation "said catheter" in line 3. It is unclear which catheter, i.e.

Application/Control Number: 10/510,378 Page 3

Art Unit: 3767

the perfusion catheter or the auxiliary catheter. Claim 7 recites the limitation "said perfusion channel" in line 2. Claim 8 recites the limitation "the circumferential edge" in lines 3-4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in these claims. Also, in claim 8, it is unclear what is being "provided at the circumferential edge" and what the "each with a dilatable cuff" refers to.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-3 and 7-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over St. Goar et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,090,096) in view of DoBrava et al (U.S. Patent No. 7,189,250). In Figures 8, 9, and 10, St. Goar et al disclose a perfusion catheter (80) having at least one perfusion channel (98) designed as a hollow channel and dilation units (84 and 110). As to claim 2, in lines 19-34 of column 11, St. Goar et al teach that the dilation units are disposed at a distance of at least 1 cm form each other. As to claim 3, a passage (86) is provided at a circumferential edge of the dilation unit, i.e., the edge of the dilation unit through which the passage projects and part of the passage is bound sickle-like by the circumferential edge of the dilation unit and the remaining part of the passage is bound by the aortic wall. As to claim 7, openings (102 and 100) are

Art Unit: 3767

provided and, in lines 19-23 of column 8 and lines 50-54 of column 11, St. Goar et al. teach providing a pump or pressurized fluid source (59). As to claim 9, a working channel (92) is provided with an opening (96). As to claim 10, the passage (86) is surrounded by an elastic channel, i.e., the dilation unit (110). As to claim 11, the dilation elements are connected to a supply line through which a fluid source is introduced for inflating. St. Goar et al disclose the device substantially as claimed. However, St. Goar et al are silent on the dilation unit on the proximal side being provided with at least one passage outside of the perfusion catheter where the at least one passage projects through the dilation unit and is completely surrounded by the dilation unit. DoBrava et al. disclose a perfusion catheter (12) having a proximal dilation unit (shown at 28) in Figure 1 with passages (26) outside of the perfusion catheter where the passages project through the proximal dilation unit and are completely surrounded by the dilation unit. Furthermore, DoBrava et al disclose a sluice mechanism which seals the passages fluid-tight when the proximal dilation unit is in an inflated state (lines 37-53 of column 3) since the passages are collapsed until the proximal dilation unit is in a further inflated state. The proximal dilation unit of DoBrava et al is provided with multiple passages along the circumferential edge of the proximal dilation unit. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the dilation unit on the proximal side with passages as taught by DoBrava et al as DoBrava et al teach that it is well known to provide multiple passages which project through a proximal dilation unit to allow for drainage or removal of debris that result from a surgical procedure.

Application/Control Number: 10/510,378

Art Unit: 3767

body.

6. Claims 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over St Goar et al and DoBrava et al as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Valley et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,814,016). St. Goar et al and DoBrava et al disclose the invention substantially as claimed. However, St. Goar et al and DoBrava et al do not disclose the passage being designed in the manner of a rotatable ring seal and the proximal dilation unit being disposed in a rotary manner about the perfusion catheter. In Figure 29. Valley et al show a catheter having proximal and distal dilation units and, in lines 10-55 of column 26, teach that the dilation unit can be rotated about the catheter to collapse the dilation unit to its lowest possible deflated profile when the catheter is introduced or withdrawn through a peripheral arterial access site. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the catheter of St. Goar et al and DoBrava et al to allow the dilation unit and, thus the passages, to be rotated about the catheter as taught by Valley et al as both St. Goar et al and Valley et al teach using the catheters within the arteries of a patient's heart and it is desirable to have the dilation unit in the lowest possible deflated profile when the catheter is being advanced through the narrow passageways of a patient's

Page 5

7. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over St. Goar et al and DoBrava et al as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Kong (Publication No. U.S. 2002/0120234). St. Goar et al and DoBrava et al disclose the invention substantially as claimed. However, St. Goar et al are silent on the dilation units being designed as suction elements and having a bell-shaped form with a suction

Application/Control Number: 10/510,378 Page 6

Art Unit: 3767

line. In Figure 1, Kong shows a catheter where the dilation unit or balloon is designed as a suction element and has a bell-shaped form and teaches using a suction line to allow the balloon to maintain a fluid-tight seal to a wall of a blood vessel. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the dilation units of St. Goar et al to be a suction element with a bell-shaped form and a suction line as taught by Kong as both St. Goar et al and Kong teach that it is desirable for the dilation units to maintain a fluid-tight seal at the location in a patient's body where a surgical procedure is being performed.

- 8. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over St. Goar et al, DoBrava et al, and Kong as applied to claim 12 above, and further in view of Wang et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,195,969). St. Goar et al, DoBrava et al, and Kong disclose the invention substantially as claimed. St. Goar et al disclose the dilation units as being made of an elastic material and enclosing an inflatable volume. However, both St. Goar et al and Kong are silent on the dilation units being double-walled. Wang et al disclose a catheter having a double-walled dilation unit. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the elastic dilation unit of St. Goar et al double-walled as taught by Wang et al as Wang et al teach that a double-walled dilation unit provides strength to the dilation unit so that it can be inflated safely and this is highly desirable when inflating dilation units in locations in a patient's body where a surgical procedure is being performed.
- 9. Claims 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over St. Goar et al in view of Boyd et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,738,652). St. Goar et al

Art Unit: 3767

discloses introducing coronary artery catheters (69 and 71) into the coronary arteries and inflating a cuff (75) which allows a blood flow to be ensured through the catheters into the coronary arteries. Furthermore, in lines 16-19 of column 8, St. Goar et al teach that other components and features of cardiopulmonary bypass systems may be used that would be apparent to those of skill in the art. St. Goar et al teach positioning the perfusion catheter inside the aorta so that the aortic valve is surrounded by the dilation units and inflating the dilation units so that the units are located close to the aortic wall in a fluid-tight manner. St. Goar et al also teach visualizing the aorta. St. Goar et al disclose the invention substantially as claimed. However, St. Goar et al do not teach emptying the blood volume between the two dilation units by means of an auxiliary catheter projecting through the proximal dilation unit, severing the aortic valve by means of a separation instrument projecting through the proximal dilation unit, and conducting the severing under optical observation by means of an optic catheter where multiple passages or catheters are provided projecting through a dilation unit. In line 54 of column 12 to line 32 of column 13, Boyd et al teach using a cardiopulmonary bypass system and a perfusion catheter where a working volume is created between a dilation unit (11) and the aortic valve by removing the fluid within that area by introducing multiple auxiliary catheters which project through the dilation unit. The auxiliary catheters of Boyd et al are outside of the perfusion catheter and project through the dilation unit (see Figure 5). Boyd et al also teach using a cutter to sever the aortic valve and using an angioscope to observe the severing of the aortic valve. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made

Application/Control Number: 10/510,378

Art Unit: 3767

to provide the catheter of St. Goar et al with multiple auxiliary catheters outside of the catheter as taught by Boyd et al as Boyd et al teach that it is well known to use auxiliary catheters projecting through a dilation unit for creating a working volume, for severing the aortic valve, and for conducting the severing under optical observation.

Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3 and 5-15 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bhisma Mehta whose telephone number is 571-272-3383. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 7:30 am to 3:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Sirmons can be reached on 571-272-4965. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Application/Control Number: 10/510,378

Art Unit: 3767

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

BM

KEVIN C. SIRMONS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Hurn C. Sirmon

Page 9